



**Student Representative Assembly Meeting 22J**  
**Sunday, November 13, 2022 at 5:00pm (EST)**  
**Council Chambers, GH 111**

**Called to Order at 5:14pm**

**Call of the Roll**

Present: Ahmad, Ashraf, Arora, Bek, Bizjak, Black, Burton, Caputo, Chowdhry, Chui, Dawdy, Garfield, German, Hannan, Hu, Larrazabal Zea, Lee, Lum, Mannat, Middaugh Cifuentes, Pittari, Raza, Sivackumar, Suganthan, Tadrous, Vinu, Wang, Yeom

Absent Excused: Wong (Conference)

Absent: Abdallah, Hassan, Mothe

Late: Singh

Others Present: Amarah Hasham-Steele (The Silhouette), Sydney Szijarto (Student), Navin Gary (Student), Vic Wojciechowska (student), Adeola Egbeyemi (Student), Annika Yardy (Student), Eddy Su (McMaster Solar Car), Anthony Seymour (McMaster Solar Car), Sindhuja Bissoonauth (EWB), Kyle Sung (FYC Chair), Fiona Sharpe (OPIRG), Ekta Mishra(OPIRG), Saud Haseeb(OPIRG), Inaan Unatha (OPIRG), Ramoosha Balaranjan (OPIRG), Bohmee Kim (OPIRG), Kazza Topp-Ngyuen (OPIRG), Albert Wang (OPIRG), V. Scott (Recording Secretary)

Chair: Piper Plavins (MSU Speaker)

**Territory Recognition**

- The SRA would like to recognize today that we are situated on traditional Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabe territories through the 'Dish with One Spoon Wampum Treaty'.

**Adoption of Agenda**

**Moved** by German, **seconded** by Raza that the Assembly adopt the agenda, as presented.

**Amendment**

**Moved** by Dawdy, **seconded** by Chui to amend the agenda and move Business Item #1 to be directly after Delegation from the Floor.

**Vote on Amendment**

**Passes Unanimously**

**Amendment**

**Moved** by Yeom, **seconded** by Raza to amend the agenda and add Delegation from the Floor "McMaster TA Strike".

- Yeom stated that they would like to add a delegation in discussion about the TA Strike that will happen on November 21. They felt that this would make students aware of what is happening.
- Raza stated that this was something that they should be informed about.

**Vote on Motion**

**In Favour: 23 Opposed: 2 Abstentions: 3**

**Opposed: Tadrous, Ahmad**  
**Abstained: Arora, Hu, Bek**  
**Motion Passes**

**Vote to Adopt**

**Moved** by German, **seconded** by Raza that the Assembly adopt the agenda, as amended.

**Passes Unanimously****Announcements from the Chair**

- The Chair stated that this will be an interesting meeting. They asked all non-SRA members to please sign the Observer's List in the back of the room. The Chair announced that there are currently two vacant seats; one for Business and one for Humanities, and a by-election will be called. They asked that Caucuses who haven't submitted their year plans to do so by November 22, to be circulated for approval on November 27.

**Delegations from the Floor**

**Set Parameters for Delegation #1**

**Moved** by Chui, **seconded** by Dawdy to set parameters for the OPIRG Delegation to be 10 minutes for presentation, and 15 minutes for questions.

- Chui stated that this was in line with the other groups that presented.

**Vote on Parameters**

**Passes Unanimously**

**1. OPIRG – Fiona Sharpe, Ekta Mishra, Saud Haseeb, Inaan Unatha, Ramoosha Balaranjan, Bohmee Kim, Kazza Topp-Ngyuen, Albert Wang presented (presentation attached)**

- OPIRG went through their presentation with the Assembly.

**Questions**

- Arora asked if OPIRG could explain why a big part of the funding goes to staff fees and not expenses. They stated that the memo submitted says that OPIRG is spending 69% of their budget on staff. Arora asked what value was being provided to the actual projects. OPIRG responded that compared to CFMU they spend 87% of their budget on wages, and the Silhouette spends 79% on wages. They stated that the OPIRG staff provide immense value and Randy works 15 hours, and Shelley works 15 as well.
- Dawdy asked OPIRG what improvements were made over the expense tracking. They explained that there were concerns from previous years that expenses were amalgamated and no clarifications. OPIRG responded that what was projected for the 21/22 year was presented to the SRA, and that the projected line items were what they had to work with in filling it out and they couldn't change them. They added that there were extensive reports back and forth.
- Hu asked what kind of special services OPIRG offers compared to the library, and what resources they offer that McMaster doesn't offer. OPIRG responded that the books that they offer aren't popular enough to find on the shelves of McMaster, and the libraries might have inventory.
- Arora asked OPIRG a follow-up to their last question. They stated that OPIRG compared themselves to the MSU but OPIRG's budget is a lot more significant than any club as they're holding \$200,000. Arora asked why OPIRG thought they were on the same page less than another club. OPIRG stated that CFMU's

expenses were more than twice their budget and it was calculated in the same way that the Finance Committee broke out the wage expense. They added that they will be hiring a replacement for one of their staff members, and they will be starting at the base wage so it will lower expenses. OPIRG added that they were open to the suggestions they received and making sure they're well in tune with the staff working.

- Chui asked about the opt-out period. OPIRG responded they received 27 opt-outs, and it was advertised on the website, newspaper, and the campus TV in MUSC.
- Dawdy asked about the funding of initiatives being allocated on a more rolling basis. Dawdy added the concern was about more delivered efforts and taking advantage of students. OPIRG responded that they removed the application deadlines to allow this to be more year-round. They added that the applications are advertised on social media. OPIRG stated that in the past year, they created new policies to increase the funding supported by the processes.

### **Set Parameters for Delegation #2**

**Moved** by Yeom, **seconded** by Singh to set parameters for the McMaster TA Strike Delegation to be five minutes for presentation and a maximum of 10 minutes for questions.

- Yeom stated that was sufficient.
- Singh agreed.

### **Vote on Parameters**

**Passes Unanimously**

### **2. McMaster TA Strike – Vic Wojciechowska, Adeola Egbeyemi, Elle K, and Navin Gary presented**

- Wojciechowska stated that they were a member of CUPE 3906 and wanted to share information on what will be happening on campus that will be affecting everyone. They stated that they were joined by other students and allies. Wojciechowska explained that CUPE 3906 represents academic workers, TAs, RAs, and Post-Doctoral Fellows, and that Unit One (representing TAs) took a historical strike vote with 90% saying 'yes' to strike. They added that if negotiations continue to break down, CUPE will be taking job action, and that there is a strike deadline of November 21. Wojciechowska explained that wages for all undergraduate and graduate students had been capped to 1% due to Bill 124, and with inflation they've all taken pay cuts. They stated that they were hoping to come back to the next meeting with ways the MSU can help support them.
- Egbeyemi stated that they know the SRA can be stressful, but that they have taken political stances before by motioning an adopted statement in defunding the Hamilton Police Services, and another motion about responders.

**Moved** by Chui, **seconded** by Raza to extend the presentation by five minutes.

- Chui stated that the delegation have an important message to share, so they should be able to do it here.
- Raza stated that they should be allowed to finish.

### **Vote on Motion**

**Passes Unanimously**

### **Back to Delegation Presentation**

- Egbeyemi added that the Internal Governance Committee could look at the Labour policy and bring it forward to the SRA for change.

- K stated that the strike was important to them as wages haven't been able to keep up with pricing, and individuals have had to go out and get other sources of income. They explained that the learning curve of being a TA was tough, and that they know they're providing a higher quality of education than in their first year. K added that the University should be increasing pay every year and retain those who were experienced. They explained that they're striking to collapse the gap between those who are doing the same work.
- Gary stated they feel that for undergraduate TAs, having others earn more doesn't incentivize. They added that it's not just about paying people a living wage to let them live, they need the strong instruction of the staff.
- Wojciechowska gave stats about McMaster. They added that Dalhousie University had a TA strike and their student union had a statement of solidarity. They asked that the SRA go to [bettermac.ca](http://bettermac.ca) to find out more information.

### Questions

- Caputo asked what they could do as SRA members to inform their peers. Wojciechowska responded that CUPE has social media accounts on Instagram, Facebook, and Twitter. They stated that everything was available to share and everything links back to the website.
- Tadrous asked what the wage brackets were. Wojciechowska responded that it was \$25.97 for undergraduates, and \$45.67 for graduates. They stated that it comes as a tuition package and ends up being less than minimum wage.
- Chui asked for an expansion on living wages. Wojciechowska stated that cost of living was a ballpark figure. They explained that graduate students get a funding package, which encapsulates everything, where wage ends up becoming \$12,000 after paying tuition. Wojciechowska added that it's a full-time job and the hours are capped which forces students to look for more work, and it needs to reflect the needs of inflation.
- Pittari asked what would happen if a strike occurred. Wojciechowska responded that tutorials, emails, and extra work would stop. They explained that what instructors choose to do during that time is up to them, some may cancel classes in solidarity, and some may not. They added that there will be picket lines, and withdrawal of services is the maximum distribution that the union can do. Wojciechowska explained that they all would like as strong and as short a strike as possible, but it was up to the University to pursue that.
- Tadrous stated that they have a fair wage. They asked if tuition was deducted from that wage. Wojciechowska responded that they can only speak to the graduate level, and that it's outlined in their funding, where a portion of the first four years is doing the TA-ship. They stated that they're also striking about the fact that tuition could increase and that would also claw back the amount of funding the members receive. Wojciechowska explained that they can only strike over the wages, as they don't have influence on the funding packages.
- Suganthan asked them to speak about compensation. Wojciechowska responded that in the event of a strike the wages will stop, and the union will provide financial assistance to their members. They explained that everyone receives the same strike pay, and that a hardship fund will be set up for members to access the fund.
- Singh thanked everyone for the delegation and recognized that the bargaining process was complicated. They asked if it was possible to tell the Assembly what the sticking points were. Wojciechowska responded that they gave the University notice to start bargaining in April, but they didn't back to the Union until September. They explained that they got an outright noon collapsing the wage gap, and that the only offer was a three percent increase for the first year.

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by Chui to exhaust the Speaker's list.

- Singh stated that this would be useful since there are still a few more questions.
- Chui agreed.

## Vote on Motion

**Passes Unanimously**

### Back to Delegation Questions

- Tadrous stated that they weren't sure what was in dispute as \$25/hour for someone in their twenties sounds like a fair number. They added that when they graduate, they will make possibly more. Tadrous stated that they weren't seeing a clear reason on why to support the strike. Wojciechowska responded that the difference between working off-campus was that they're not paying around \$9,000 worth of tuition, as well as not wearing the double hat of worker and student. They explained that it was a funding package, and the hours are capped. They added that this sometimes meant having to work other jobs off campus and then trying to do schoolwork. Wojciechowska stated that they've heard of people sleeping in their cars because they can no longer afford rent.
- Singh stated that they recognized that inflation was out of control and asked what their thoughts are on preventing tuition increases with an increase to TA pay. Wojciechowska responded that they weren't sure what they will do, and that Domestic and International students were the same. They stated that what they can do in the union is implement language to make sure tuition increases don't happen, in regard to discrepancies in tuition between the two that would be a political fight that would need to be taken to the side. Egbeyemi stated that it was all about what the SRA could do, as they are the highest educated political students, as a first- or second-year student would be uninformed. They stated that the SRA could shape the discussion about supporting students, which was why a statement would be important.

## Business

### 1. Committee of the Whole – OPIRG

**Moved** by Dawdy, **seconded** by German that the Assembly move into Committee of the Whole to discuss the OPIRG presentation.

- Dawdy stated that this would be the time to discuss the presentation and give recommendations on any changes the Assembly would like to see.

## Vote on Motion

**Passes Unanimously**

**Moved** by Dawdy, **seconded** by German that the Assembly move out of Committee of the Whole and to Rise and Report.

**Passes Unanimously**

## Rise and Report

- Dawdy stated that the Assembly discussed the OPIRG presentation and organization. They stated that there were a few recommendations related to transparency, such as opt-out, wages, and donations.

## Report Period

### 1. First Year Council – Kyle Sung presented

- Sung summarized the report.

### Questions

- German thanked Sung for the report, and noted it was much appreciated. They stated that the report mentioned plans for FYC for the upcoming year to enhance student life. German asked Sung to be more specific. Sung responded that there have been some voices saying that there aren't enough food options for those with dietary restrictions, and that FYC would like to work with Hospitality Services and others in Residence.
- Caputo commented on the first-year formal recommendation. They stated that they were on FYC last year, and first-year formal was held in CIBC Hall in MUSC. They stated that it was more accessible to students and the feedback was positive. Sung stated that the event planning was done by Spark, but FYC is hoping to do something else.

### 2. Internal Governance Committee – report circulated

- Suganthan presented the report.

### Questions

- German stated that some policies are being edited and will be brought forward to the next meeting.

### 3. Health sciences Caucus – report circulated

- Lum presented report.

### 4. Humanities Caucus – Middaugh Cifuentes presented

- Middaugh Cifuentes summarized the report.

### 5. Provincial & Federal Affairs Committee – report circulated

### 6. Finance Committee – Dawdy presented

- Dawdy summarized the report.

### 7. Executive Board - Chui presented

- Chui summarized the report.

### 8. President – Singh presented

- Singh summarized the report.

### 9. Elections Department – SRA & FYC October By-Elections – report circulated

- German presented the report.

### 10. OUSA Report – report circulated

- Chui presented the report.

**Moved** by German, **seconded** by Raza to recess for 15 minutes.

- German stated that they have more pizza coming in, and it will give them time to call Facilities to deal with the temperature in the room.

#### **Vote on Motion**

**Passes Unanimously**

**Recessed at 7:04pm**

**Called to Order at 7:28pm**

#### **Call of the Roll**

Present: Ahmad, Ashraf, Arora, Bek, Bizjak, Black, Burton, Caputo, Chowdhry, Chui, Dawdy, Garfield, German, Hannan, Hu, Larrazabal Zea, Lee, Lum, Mannat, Middaugh Cifuentes, Pittari, Raza, Singh, Sivackumar, Suganthan, Tadrour, Vinu, Wang, Yeom

Absent Excused: Wong (Conference)

Absent: Abdallah, Hassan, Mothe

Late:

Others Present: Amarah Hasham-Steele (The Silhouette), Eddy Su (McMaster Solar Car), Anthony Seymour (McMaster Solar Car), Sindhuja Bissoonauth (EWB), V. Scott (Recording Secretary)

Chair: Piper Plavins (MSU Speaker)

#### **Information Period**

- German reported that they were in the process of organizing MSU Egnog, an appreciation event for Services, AVPs, and SRA. They stated that it will be November 25 in TwelvEighty, with holiday drinks, a photo booth, and holiday movies.
- Chui gave a recap of their report from SRA 22I.
- Singh announced that the Holiday Market was coming up at the end of November.
- Yeom stated that they were thinking of possibly moving a motion to have SRA being a paid position. They did a straw poll to see if anyone was interested.

#### **Question Period**

- Larrazabal Zea asked the VP (Finance) about the timeline for the HSR survey. Chui responded that they're still drafting the survey and it will be done by this Friday, to hopefully be published on the Monday after. They added that the survey will be up for three months, with MSU bags being given out with proven participation in the survey.
- Tadrour asked Yeom about their idea about the SRA being paid. Tadrour stated that since the Assembly were elected positions, it can sometimes be a popularity contest. Yeom responded that their line of thinking was about the workload of representing their programs. They stated that they wouldn't take the elections into account when putting a proposal together, just the work being done.
- Hu asked Yeom if there would be additional responsibility from this proposal or just wages. Yeom responded that if being a representative was a paid position then the role itself would be clear cut. They added that this was just a thought in the making.
- Arora asked Yeom about the thought of having only Caucus Leaders paid. Yeom responded that ArtSci only has one member.
- Hu asked Yeom what kind of wages they were thinking of. Yeom responded that it was hypothetical.
- Yeom asked Scott what the logistics of bringing forward a plan. Scott responded and explained the process.

## **Business**

### **2. Proposed Solar Car Referendum**

**Moved** by Arora, **seconded** by Burton that the Assembly send the McMaster Solar Car Project annual fee of \$1.11 to referendum, to coincide with the 2022/23 MSU Presidential Election.

- Arora went over the memo with the Assembly. They stated that there were a lot of inconsistencies with the budget and that a solar car hasn't been delivered since 2016.
- Burton stated that they would like to go through with this.
- Dawdy explained that the Solar Car team was at the meeting, and if anyone had questions, they can cede their time to the team. Dawdy ceded the rest of their time to Anthony Seymour.
- Seymour stated that they've been on the Solar Car project for the past two years and have been a part of and leading since 2018. They explained that during that time they were getting it back on their feet due to the previous leader. They stated that they've been trying to recycle designs and have been using the money from that time for funding research. Seymour explained that Solar Car couldn't work in the Hatch building during COVID, so they've also been shutout for two years. They added that everything they have been spending their money on was to get meaningful acquisitions. Seymour explained that Solar Car deserves the \$1.11 that they receive from every MSU member, and that they give opportunities to every single one who want them. They explained that this gives opportunities to get industry related experience and is a constant melting spot of ideas. They emphasized that they hire from multiple faculties, such as social sciences, engineering, and business, and that they are heavily competitive and provide the best quality product.
- The Assembly went on to question the Solar Car members for a while and did not engage in debate.

**Moved** by Chui, **seconded** by Singh to Call to Question.

**In Favour: 19 Opposed: 4 Abstentions: 4**  
**Opposed: Yeom, Garfield, Tadrous, Vinu**  
**Abstained: German, Hu, Ashra, Dawdy**  
**Motion Passes**

#### **Vote on Motion**

**Moved** by Arora, **seconded** by Burton that the Assembly send the McMaster Solar Car Project annual fee of \$1.11 to referendum, to coincide with the 2022/23 MSU Presidential Election.

**In Favour: 15 Opposed: 9 Abstentions: 4**  
**Opposed: Yeom, Hannan, Hu, Tadrous, Dawdy, Vinu, Ahmed, Bek, Bizjak**  
**Abstained: Middaugh Cifuentes, Ashra, Caputo, Raza**  
**Motion Passes**

### **3. Proposed Engineers without Borders Referendum**

**Moved** by Arora, **seconded** by Caputo that the Assembly send the Engineers Without Borders annual fee of \$0.41 to referendum, to coincide with the 2022/23 MSU Presidential Election.

- Arora went over the memo with the Assembly.
- Middaugh Cifuentes asked for someone from EWB to explain further about the chapter. They ceded the rest of the time to EWB Representative Sindhuja Bissoonauth.
- Bissoonauth responded that their website was still under construction, which is being done by the National Office, and there was a change in strategy and delay on hiring a webmaster. They stated that as

for funding, there is \$300 allocated for publishing, posting on Instagram and posters. Bissoonauth added that the National Office changed the funding for the fellowship program, to be \$12,000 from McMaster for one student. They added that there was an overestimation on how many MSU members there were going to be to get funding for EWB. Bissoonauth explained that there are policies at the National Office that would ensure that the student chosen for the fellowship would be following through with the promises and coming back to the University to talk about the fellowship and sharing their learning experiences. They added that this would count as a co-op as well.

- Burton stated that it was important to maintain a return on investment. They asked EWB to speak about the selection process for the fellowship. They ceded their time to a EWB representative.
- EWB #2 – They stated that the past four fellowship members were recruited from other faculties, and not in engineering. They explained that the process was to advertise on campus and social media, as well as do outreach in classrooms and then three candidates would be selected by McMaster EWB and sent to the National Office, where they would select from the final three.
- Yeom stated that they would only be in favour of the referendum if Arts and Science were not involved. They asked if there were any that showed interest. Yeom ceded their time to EWB.
- Bissoonauth stated that as of right now, there was not.
- Hu asked if EWB has investigated not relying on MSU member funding. Hu ceded their time to EWB.
- Bissoonauth responded that they have sent out sponsorship packages, and there are different grades of sponsorships with different benefits.

#### **Vote on Motion**

**Moved** by Arora, **seconded** by Caputo that the Assembly send the Engineers Without Borders annual fee of \$0.41 to referendum, to coincide with the 2022/23 MSU Presidential Election.

**In Favour: 20 Opposed: 6 Abstained: 2**  
**Opposed: Yeom, Middaugh Cifuentes, Larrazabal Zea, Garfield, Vinu**  
**Abstained: Arora, Dawdy**  
**Motion Passes**

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by Chui to recess for five minutes.

- Singh stated that it would be nice for a quick break.
- Chui agreed.
- German stated that they recently had a recess and that they would like to continue with the meeting.

**In Favour: 6 Opposed: 20 Abstentions: 0**  
**Opposed: Chui, German, Yeom, Middaugh Cifuentes, Hannan, Wang, Mannat, Burton, Black, Larrazabal Zea, Arora, Garfield, Hu, Pittari, Vinu, Ahmed, Caputo, Bizjak, Lee, Suganthan, Chowdhry**  
**Motion Fails**

#### **4. Operating Policy – MSU Students of Distinction Award**

**Moved** by Suganthan, **seconded** by Raza that the Assembly approve the changes to **Operating Policy – MSU Students of Distinction Award**, as circulated and attached.

- Larrazabal Zea asked about Section 1.1.1.1 and how the value would be determined. They ceded the rest of their time to Singh.
- Singh responded that all of these items were done in conjunction, and that all of the terms are useful to those who are applying, and it would be up for interpretation by Executive Board members. Singh added that these changes came up from past conversations with the Executive Board.

### Vote on Motion

**In Favour: 26 Opposed: 0 Abstentions: 1  
Abstained: Yeom  
Motion Passes**

### 5. Open Seats on SRA seats on Standing Committees

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by Larrazabal Zea that the Assembly open the following SRA seats on the following Standing Committees:

- Finance (1)
- Municipal Affairs (1)
- Provincial & Federal Affairs (2)
- Services (1)
  
- Singh explained the Standing Committees.

### Amendment

**Moved** by Dawdy, **seconded** by Chui to amend the motion to open one more seat on the Finance Committee.

- Dawdy stated that two SRA seats were open on their committee.

### Vote on Amendment

**Passes Unanimously**

### Vote on Main Motion

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by Larrazabal Zea that the Assembly open the following SRA seats on the following Standing Committees:

- Finance (2)
- Municipal Affairs (1)
- Provincial & Federal Affairs (2)
- Services (1)

**Passes Unanimously**

### 6. Close Seats on SRA seats on Standing Committees

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by German that the Assembly close the following SRA seats on the following Standing Committees:

- Finance (1)
- Municipal Affairs (1)
- Provincial & Federal Affairs (2)
- Services (1)

### Nominations

#### Finance Committee

- Yeom nominated themselves.
- Vinu nominated themselves.
- Lee nominated themselves.

### Municipal Affairs Committee

- Sivackumar nominated themselves.

### Provincial & Federal Affairs Committee

- Bizjak nominated themselves.
- Hannan nominated themselves.
- Black nominated themselves.

### Services Committee

- Yeom nominated themselves.
- Raza nominated themselves.

### Vote on Motion

#### Motion Passes

- Sivackumar won the SRA seat on the Municipal Affairs Committee due to acclamation.

### Set Parameters

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by Caputo to set parameters for all elections to be one minute for opening statements, five minutes for questions, and one minute for closing statements.

### Vote on Motion

#### Motion Passes

### Finance Committee Election

#### Nominations

- Lee
- Vinu
- Yeom
  
- The candidates spoke within the allotted time.
- The Assembly voted by secret ballot.

Candidate	Vote
Lee	8
Vinu	9
<b>Yeom</b>	<b>11</b>
Abstained	0
Spoiled	0

- Yeom won the SRA seat on the Finance Committee.

### Services Committee Election

#### Nominations

- Yeom
- Raza
- Yeom withdrew their nomination.

- Raza won the SRA Services Committee seat due to acclamation.

### Provincial & Federal Affairs Committee Election

#### Nominations

- Bizjak
  - Black
  - Hannan
- 
- The candidates spoke within the allotted time.
  - The Assembly voted by secret ballot.

Candidate	Vote
Bizjak	14
<b>Black</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Hannan</b>	<b>27</b>
Abstained	0
Spoiled	0

- Black and Hannan won the SRA seats on the Provincial & Federal Affairs Committee.

### 7. Open SRA Seats on Other Committees

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by German that the Assembly open the following SRA seats on the following Committees:

- Clubs Advisory Council (1)
  - EFRT Advisory Council (1)
  - Sustainability Education Working Committee (1)
  - Teaching Awards (1)
- 
- Singh thanked everyone for taking part. They explained that these committees don't meet as frequently or have as much responsibility as the Standing Committees.

#### Vote on Motion

**Passes Unanimously**

### 8. Open SRA Seats on Other Committees

**Moved** by German, **seconded** by Larrazabal Zea that the Assembly close the following SRA seats on the following Committees:

- Clubs Advisory Council (1)
- EFRT Advisory Council (1)
- Sustainability Education Working Committee (1)
- Teaching Awards (1)

#### Nominations

- Hu nominated themselves for the Clubs Advisory Council.
- Raza nominated themselves for the Clubs Advisory Council.
- Hu nominated themselves for EFRT Advisory Council.
- Raza nominated themselves for EFRT Advisory Council.

- Bek nominated themselves for the Sustainability Education Working Committee.
- Bizjak nominated themselves for the Teaching Awards.
- Raza rescinded their nomination for the CAC.
- Hu rescinded their nomination for the EFRT Advisory Council.

#### **Vote on Motion**

#### **Passes Unanimously**

- The Speaker announced the following acclamations:
  - Hu won the SRA seat on the Clubs Advisory Council by acclamation.
  - Raza won the SRA seat on the EFRT Advisory Council by acclamation.
  - Bek won the SRA seat on the Sustainability Education Working Committee by acclamation.
  - Bizjak won the SRA seat on the Teaching Awards Committee by acclamation.

#### **Time of Next Meeting**

**November 27, 2022  
5:00pm (EST)  
Council Chambers, GH 111**

#### **Call of the Roll**

Present: Ahmad, Ashraf, Arora, Bek, Bizjak, Black, Burton, Caputo, Chowdhry, Chui, Dawdy, Garfield, German, Hannan, Hu, Larrazabal Zea, Lee, Lum, Mannat, Middaugh Cifuentes, Pittari, Raza, Singh, Sivackumar, Suganthan, Tadrous, Vinu, Wang, Yeom

Absent Excused: Wong (Conference)

Absent: Abdallah, Hassan, Mothe

Late:

Others Present: Amarah Hasham-Steele (The Silhouette), V. Scott (Recording Secretary)

Chair: Piper Plavins (MSU Speaker)

#### **Adjournment**

**Moved** by Singh, **seconded** by Chui that the meeting be adjourned.

**Passes Unanimously**

**Adjourned at 9:58pm**

/vs